Discussion

The applicant has invented a specially designed wear pad for insertion between a load to be lifted and the strap, band, rope, wire, cable or chain of a lifting device for protecting both the load and the chain from damage while the load is being lifted. This wear pad is a cylindrically-shaped edge protector with a wedge shape cut out section which contains raised edges on the outside surface thereof at each end of the wear pad. The wear pad is designed to be attached to the load to be lifted and remain with the load during the lifting procedure.

The USPTO rejected all claims of the application under 35 USC § 112 as being indefinite. By amending Claims 1, 10 and 15 to eliminate the indefinite language, the applicant has removed the claim indefiniteness.

The USPTO also rejected Claims 1 - 3, 5, 6, 10 and 11 under 35 USC § 103 as being unpatentable over Hill, U.S. Patent No. 5,098,143 ("Hill"). The USPTO further rejected Claims 1 - 19 under 35 USC § 103 as being unpatentable over Eckel, et. al., U.S Patent No. 4,877,673 ("Eckel") in view of <u>Jackson</u>, et. al., U.S. Patent No. 6,611,995 ("Jackson"). The applicant respectfully traverses each of these rejections.

Analysis of references

Hill

Hill discloses a device for preventing kinks in a cable extending around the edge of a load. This device is specifically designed for securing to an I-beam, as shown in Figures 1, 2 and 4, to permit it to be lifted. In the Hill device U-shape cut outs are provided in a pair of flanges (17, 18). Running between these two cut outs is a C-shaped section. Both arms of this C-shaped section contain a pair of openings (23) through which bolts (19, 20) may be extended to tighten against the arm of the I-beam. The U-shaped section of the device is secured to an edge of the arm of the Ibeam to hold the I-beam in position, as shown specifically in Figure 4. It is important to note the limited types of loads that can be lifted using the device of Hill. For example, if an edge of the load cannot fit within the U-shaped slot, it cannot be lifted Thus, a stack of lumber or metal plates using the <u>Hill</u> device. cannot be lifted using the Hill device. Applicant's device is far more useful for a broader array of products. The difference in shape between these products is thus important.

While there may be some similarities between the shape of the applicant's device and the device of <u>Hill</u>, and the <u>Hill</u> device may sometimes be used in a manner that is similar to the use of his device, there are also important distinctions. The USPTO acknowledged these distinctions by failing to reject a number of

the claims of the application based on <u>Hill</u>. Thus, the USPTO acknowledges that each of these non-rejected claims is not taught by <u>Hill</u>. One of the distinctions is contained in originally filed dependent Claim 7, which requires a cut out section comprising a wedge shaped piece, cut out from one end to the other end of the edge protector, wherein the angle of the arc of the cut out section is from 45 to 270 degrees. In order to overcome <u>Hill</u>, the applicant incorporated the limitations of this dependent claim into Claim 1. A similar incorporation of dependent Claim 13 into independent Claim 10 is also provided. By these amendments the applicant believes that he has overcome the rejection of <u>Hill</u> and removed <u>Hill</u> as a reference.

Eckel in view of Jackson

The USPTO rejected all claims of the application based on <u>Eckel</u> in view of <u>Jackson</u>. While the shape of the device of <u>Hill</u> may have similarities to that of the applicant's invention, there are significant differences in structure and shape between the devices disclosed in <u>Eckel</u> and/or <u>Jackson</u> and the applicant's device. In particular, the applicant's device, as claimed, contains a "cylindrical shaped" edge protector. None of the devices of Eckel or <u>Jackson</u> are cylindrical in shape nor is a cylindrical shape suggested. The cylindrical shape was picked by applicant for practical purposes because a lifting device formed in that shape provides substantial support for a load both while resting on the

ground and while being lifted. Similar support is not provided by the L-shaped devices of Eckel and Jackson.

In addition to the wear pad not being "cylindrical", the devices of <u>Jackson</u> and <u>Eckel</u> do not contain raised edges which "extend substantially around the <u>circumference</u> of the edge protector..." This structure is not present as there are no "circumferences" on the devices of <u>Eckel</u> or <u>Jackson</u>, as their devices are L-shaped with flat-arms.

In addition, when the wear pad of the applicant's device contains magnets, it is easier for attachment of those magnets within openings cut into the body of the applicant's cylindrical shaped device than the flat-armed devices of <u>Eckel</u> and <u>Jackson</u>.

An additional distinction between the applicant's device and the devices disclosed by <u>Eckel</u> and <u>Jackson</u> is that the devices of <u>Eckel</u> and <u>Jackson</u> do not contain a "wedge-shaped cut out section." In fact, there is no cut out section at all in <u>Eckel</u> or <u>Jackson</u>. Each of these devices are merely flat pieces which have been formed in a 90° angle so as to function as edge protectors.

In addition, neither <u>Eckel</u> nor <u>Jackson</u> contain one arm longer than the other arm, as required by dependent Claims 9, 12 and 19.

For all these reasons the applicant believes that the devices, as claimed, are distinctive from the devices disclosed by \underline{Eckel} and Jackson alone or in combination.

CONCLUSION

The applicant believes that the claims of the application, as amended, are distinct over the prior art cited by the USPTO and requests the issuance of a Notice of Allowance. If there are any questions concerning this Amendment, please contact applicant's counsel.

Respectfully submitted,

Scott R. Cox

Reg. No. 31,945

LYNCH, COX, GILMAN & MAHAN, P.S.C. 500 West Jefferson St., Suite 2100 Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(502) 589-4215

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Wolly Wart

SRC:hh R:\Patents\p1202\P1202amendment110405.wpd

11-08-05

103292